PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:

Paulus Pieter DE WIT et al.

Docket No.: ACM 2948 P1US

Group Art Unit: 1623

Serial No.: 10/519,939

Examiner: Everett White

Filing Date: January 25, 2005

Confirmation Number: 5044

DEPOLYMERIZATION OF WATER SOLUBLE POLYSACCHARIDES

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.133

Sir:

Responsive to the Interview Summary mailed January 30, 2009, Applicants submit the present Interview Summary for entry in the above-identified case.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments

Applicants thank Examiners Jiang and White for conducting the telephonic Examiner Interview on January 27, 2009 with Applicants' attorney. It is noted that an earlier telephonic Examiner Interview was conducted on January 12, 2009, between Examiner White and Applicants' attorney. It is believed that the second Interview Summary supersedes the first Interview Summary; however, this Interview Summary is responsive to both Interviews.

In the first Examiner Interview, Applicants' attorney and Examiner White discussed the outstanding 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection of the pending claims. Specifically, Applicants' attorney asserted that the § 112, first paragraph, rejection was improper, by arguing that support for the feature of "said solid composition comprising a polysaccharide ether and from 2 to 10 wt% of an alkaline depolymerization agent, based on the weight of said polysaccharide ether" was found in the specification at the very least at page 10, 4th paragraph, and in the Examples. Examiner White disagreed and, unfortunately, no agreement was reached. Also discussed was the restriction of claims 1-6 and 14 from the application, for which Examiner White agreed to reconsider Applicants arguments regarding the rejection based on "election by original presentation."

In the second Examiner Interview, the § 112, first paragraph, rejection was again discussed with Examiner White and his supervisor, Examiner Jiang. Again, Applicants' attorney asserted that the rejection was improper by arguing that support for the above-noted feature was found in the specification, as noted above. After further consideration, Examiners Jiang and White agreed to withdraw the rejection.

As indicated in the Examiner Interview Summary dated January 30, 2009, it is Applicants' understanding that Examiners Jiang and White agreed to withdraw the § 112, first paragraph, new matter rejection and would issue a further Action (Notice of Allowance or otherwise) within two weeks of the Examiner Interview. To date, no further Action has been received by Applicants. Accordingly, Applicants await such

Action by the Office, and believe that the currently pending claims are in condition for allowance. Notice of such Action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted.

James C. Abruzzo Attorney for Applicants Registration No.: 55,890

Akzo Nobel Inc. Legal & IP 120 White Plains Road, Suite 300 Tarrytown, NY 10591 (914) 333-7448